## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

Criminal No. 1:10cr200 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Alexandria, Virginia August 30, 2010 vs.

9:45 a.m. LEE BENTLEY FARKAS,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: PAUL J. NATHANSON, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office

2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

and

BRIGHAM O. CANNON, ESQ.

United States Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section

1400 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

FOR THE DEFENDANT: WILLIAM B. CUMMINGS, ESQ.

William B. Cummings, P.C.

P.O. Box 1177

Alexandria, VA 22313

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: ANNELIESE J. THOMSON, RDR, CRR

U.S. District Court, Fifth Floor

401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 22314

(703)299-8595

(Pages 1 - 21)

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES

```
2
                         PROCEEDINGS
1
 2
                             (Defendant present.)
 3
             THE CLERK: Criminal Case 10-200, United States of
 4
   America v. Lee Bentley Farkas. Would counsel please note their
 5
   appearances for the record.
             MR. NATHANSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Paul
 6
7
   Nathanson for the United States. With me at counsel table is
8
   Brigham Cannon from the Criminal Division, Fraud Section. He'll
9
   be handling the matter in court today.
10
             THE COURT: All right, good morning.
11
             MR. CANNON: Good morning.
12
             MR. CUMMINGS: Good morning, Your Honor. William
13
   Cummings for the defendant. Mr. Farkas is here with me at counsel
14
   table.
15
             THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Cummings, just for the
16
   record, there was a hearing we had some time ago --
17
             MR. CUMMINGS: The 11th, um-hum.
18
             THE COURT: Right, in which Mr. Farkas was not present.
19
   Did we ever get a written waiver of appearance?
2.0
             MR. CUMMINGS: I have a copy of that, Your Honor --
21
             THE COURT: Fine. I just wasn't sure.
22
             MR. CUMMINGS: -- which I think must be -- I must have
23
   gotten from the court records.
24
             I think I got it from, from PACER.
25
             THE COURT: That's fine. I just meant to check.
```

```
3
   right. Well, very good.
1
 2
              MR. CUMMINGS: It was a one-day-only waiver.
 3
              THE COURT: Correct. So the defendant is here today,
 4
   and that's good.
 5
              MR. CUMMINGS: Yes, ma'am.
              THE COURT: All right, there are two matters on the
 6
 7
           the government's proposed protective order and the Rule
   16 discovery order. I've reviewed the materials, particularly the
 8
 9
   most recently drafted orders that we received, I think, on August
10
   26.
11
              I want to know now that you've looked at the slightly
12
   revised government proposed protective order if you still have any
13
    substantive objection to it.
14
              MR. CUMMINGS: Well, they took out, they took out the
15
   favorite part that I had in there, Your Honor, in my proposed
16
    order, which was that I would have the -- I or whoever was defense
17
    counsel would have the ability to share documents with anybody
18
   that in the discretion of counsel would, would help advance the
19
   preparation for the defense of the defendant.
2.0
              The government has --
              THE COURT: Well, I understand --
21
22
              MR. CUMMINGS: -- issue with that -- I'm sorry.
23
              THE COURT:
                          I understand that, Mr. Cummings, but it's a
24
   pretty broad category of entities they have allowed you -- they
25
   would allow you to give this information to. It includes any
```

other attorneys, paralegals, investigators, experts, and secretaries employed by the attorney of record and performing work on behalf of the defendant.

Now, other than possibly having to show some of the documents to potential witnesses, I can't think of another category of human beings to whom you would have any reason to be disclosing the information.

MR. CUMMINGS: The government's concern is set forth in their response to our motion here, and they feel somehow -- and perhaps because they were talking to counsel who had been here back at the time of the arraignment, Mr. Houlihan and Mr. Harris -- that they might take large portions of the data and share them with counsel representing potential other defendants, other people who were employed at high-level positions at either TBW or Colonial Bank. That's never been my thought of how to present this case or prepare this case.

I think their theory for that was perhaps that those people who may have had counsel that they could afford to pay to, to study some of these large-scale volume of documents might help them find things that might be exculpatory, whereas right now they're working on a shoestring.

I now have the authority to hire paralegals to do a lot of that kind of work, the same kind of work that a firm would be doing working for one of these other people. Nevertheless, if at some time I find the need, I would think, to share some of this

material with an attorney representing one of these people not yet charged, I think the government's theory of how they've drafted this order I would be prevented from doing so.

Those are not attorneys engaged by me to work exclusively for me on the defense of this particular individual, like the paralegals and Mr. Williams in my office and other people like that, investigators or accountants or other kinds of professionals that I might engage directly under my control.

Handing over large lumps -- you know, large-scale, wholesale documents to another attorney representing some other defendant I don't think is in my client's best interest at this time since I would have the resources to have somebody go through those and make some sense out of this humongous amount of data, but I think that's the issue we have before Your Honor, whether or not in counsel's discretion they should be able to share this with people that are not engaged or employed under their counsel's direct control because these folks would be representing somebody else who might be charged in this case.

THE COURT: Well, I think one of the ways of handling that is to add an extra -- is to add some extra language to the government's order that should counsel have need to disclose this information to anybody beyond those described in paragraph 3, they must first get permission from the Court, and that could be done even ex parte.

MR. CUMMINGS: Well, I think if it was done ex parte, I

```
6
   wouldn't have any problem with it. Again, the issue always is in
1
 2
   a case like this to show your hand to the government in a case
   like this --
 3
 4
              THE COURT:
                          I understand that.
 5
              MR. CUMMINGS: I wouldn't have any problem with that.
              THE COURT: It's the same way in which you were able to
 6
 7
   apply to the Court ex parte for funds under the CJA to assist you
   in your defense.
 8
 9
              MR. CUMMINGS: Right.
10
              THE COURT: The government has no right to know exactly
11
   what you've requested or, you know, for what particular types of
12
    jobs.
13
              MR. CUMMINGS:
                             Right.
14
              THE COURT: So I think language of that sort added to
15
   this order would give you the protection that you need.
              MR. CUMMINGS: I would have no problem with that, Your
16
17
   Honor.
18
              THE COURT: All right. I'll ask the two of you,
19
   counsel, to get together. I don't want to have to draft it.
             MR. CUMMINGS: That's fine.
20
21
              THE COURT: All right. Take the government's protective
    order, the one that was filed on August 26, add that additional
22
23
    language at the very end, and the only other thing that I think
24
   should be changed, just -- it's a minor point, but in paragraph 3,
25
   you say "attorneys of record."
```

THE COURT: So that takes care of the protective order.

25

an order that they sign recognizing their obligations to keep the

information private, confidential, and that would resolve any

24

25

```
9
1
   disclosure problem of personal identifiers.
 2
              MR. CUMMINGS: That's fine. I'll work up a disclosure
 3
   agreement, show it to the government, which will have everybody
 4
   who -- with whom I have contact or had the documents will
 5
   acknowledge that they've read this record and agree to be bound by
   the terms.
 6
              THE COURT: I think that will adequately protect both
 7
   sides.
 8
 9
              MR. CUMMINGS: Right.
10
              THE COURT: Yes. All right? And that should also
11
   include some language along the lines that are in paragraph 7
12
   about the return of the materials or destruction.
13
              MR. CUMMINGS: Oh, yeah. If they have the documents,
   they'll have to return them.
14
15
              THE COURT: Yeah.
16
              MR. CUMMINGS: No question about that.
17
              THE COURT: All right?
18
              MR. CUMMINGS: Yes, ma'am.
19
              THE COURT: Good. So we're set on that.
2.0
             Now, the other one was the discovery order.
21
              MR. CUMMINGS: Yeah. I want to say for the record the
   government thinks that I was implying that they have somehow
22
23
   dragged their feet. I didn't mean to suggest that, that the
24
   government itself was dragging their feet.
25
```

I was really trying to refer to the long period of time

```
1
   since the arraignment, which I don't think the government
 2
   necessarily is to blame for. I've been in the case now just a
 3
   little over two weeks, so my point was that which would normally
 4
   have been produced in due course from the date of the arraignment
 5
   now should be expedited because of that long period of time
    through no fault necessarily of the government to not have it.
 6
 7
              They have begun to do that. I had some documents
   produced to me most recently last week, and I understand today
 8
9
   I'll going to get access to a lot more things fairly rapidly,
10
   but --
11
                         Well, I'm not going to require them to do a
              THE COURT:
12
   forthwith production, because I have a suspicion, No. 1, if they
13
   did, it might overwhelm you given the amount of documents here,
14
   and if they're all basically on a, on a disk, I mean, that's a
15
   fairly simple production.
16
              MR. CUMMINGS: I think they're on this database
17
   primarily.
                         Oh, on a database.
18
              THE COURT:
19
              MR. CUMMINGS: Accessing it through a computer.
20
              THE COURT: But that database is complete at this point,
21
    correct?
22
              MR. CUMMINGS: I'm not sure it's totally complete, Your
23
   Honor, but I don't know that for certain. I mean, there are -- in
24
    the four spreadsheets that I got of discovery material, only one
   was on the database. One other was documents that were going in
25
```

the database, and other things were still in some stage of production. I can't -- Mr. Cannon can tell you what the current state is of the database.

THE COURT: All right. Now, putting that one issue aside, do you have any objection to the ten-business-day time period for the disclosure of Jencks, *Giglio*, and that sort of thing?

MR. CUMMINGS: Well, we always have a problem with that, as Your Honor well knows, and I'm glad to see ten days instead of what used to be five days in those, in those older discovery orders. I would like to have at least three weeks, maybe more.

I haven't yet filed a motion for a, for a new trial date, but certainly it's under consideration, Your Honor. I have to file my motions either by tomorrow or, or by Wednesday, and again, I'm going to be probably asking the Court for a little more time to file motions since I haven't even begun to look at the discovery and know what issues might come up from that.

Many times motions that we file, as you know, have to do with the discovery or access or things of that nature. So I would ask the Court -- I'm going to file that motion tomorrow. It's not on today's docket --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CUMMINGS: -- but I am going to have to ask for some additional time, and whether or not we ask -- and, of course, we have a motion which we just filed last week set for the 10th for a

transfer.

Again, I'm not asking the Court to begin even to think about that at this stage, but certainly how that motion is dealt with may well affect whether I will need more time or some other judge will be setting dates.

THE COURT: All right. Well, there's still a lot of preliminary issues in this case, but I want to make sure that I understand the status of the discovery from the government. Is all or essentially all of the what I'll call financial data now on that Web site?

MR. CANNON: Yes, Your Honor. There are certainly categories of information, some of which are easier to put on a database, searchable database, like e-mails and other kinds of data. There are other kinds of financial data that we will make available and provide to the defense immediately in sort of hard drive format.

So it's not on the database, but it's not really a searchable format, like the accounting drives of TBW don't really lend themselves to word searches, but they will have them in their native format in hard drives upon entry of the protective order, and on the database now, there are certainly almost all of the relevant data that would be necessary for preparing for trial.

And I would say almost because it's an ongoing investigation, and there are still some things coming in, and we're putting those up on the database as soon as they come in,

```
and to the extent that the defense will have access to that
1
 2
   database today or very soon, we have representatives from our
 3
   contractor here today for them to fill out the paperwork.
 4
   defense will get access to that as soon as the government does.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Well, at some point, the
   government has to cut it off.
 6
 7
              MR. CANNON: I know.
              THE COURT: I mean, you've obviously been investigating
 8
9
   this case for some period of time, and what I don't want to have
10
   happen is, you know, three weeks before trial, there's a whole new
11
   dump of a hard drive or a whole new batch of data that defense
12
   counsel has to start absorbing.
13
              So you're going to need to make sure you get everything
14
    together and get it in, and at some point, just whatever else
15
   comes in you don't get to use.
16
              MR. CANNON: No, we understand.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
18
              MR. CANNON: And we will work with defense counsel and
19
    the Court to make sure that everything is smooth leading up to
2.0
   trial.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Cummings, let me ask
   you another question, because I just want to make sure this case
22
23
   keeps on track. You are the only attorney of record in this
24
   case --
25
             MR. CUMMINGS: Yes.
```

1 THE COURT: -- and I know that, you know, there were two 2 original counsel who did not enter a formal appearance. 3 They were the individuals who Mr. Farkas wanted to 4 retain, but are they still attempting to work out some deal either 5 with the bankruptcy court or the insurance carrier? They are, Your Honor. It's my 6 MR. CUMMINGS: 7 understanding, I saw an e-mail that Mr., I think it was Mr. Houlihan exchanged with Mr. Stokes last week about properties 8 9 and things like that, other things, assets that might be freed up 10 that might be available, that sort of thing, and Mr. Stokes said 11 the government would be working with them to continue on that, and 12 there are other issues that are outside the authority of the 13 Justice Department directly to control other agencies that have 14 limitations on some of the assets. 15 They're still working on it. I don't, I don't want to 16 say that I would be optimistic based upon the exchange that I saw 17 last week, but they're still interested in, in trying to get 18 assess to sufficient funds so they can come in the case. 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Farkas, again, I know you 20 had attorneys whom you wanted. The Court was concerned that since 21 they could not come in, your case was just languishing, and so we 22 have appointed Mr. Cummings. Have you any objection to 23 Mr. Cummings working for you at this point? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I sent you a letter, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: I haven't seen it, so why don't you tell me

what you had in the letter. 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do object --3 THE COURT: Go up to the lectern. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have objections to it. 5 don't think that I can get a fair shot at this. I'm fighting for my life here, and I'm innocent of these charges, and the 6 7 government has had over a year where I've had no access to any of this data, and we have a very, very short period of time, and it's 8 9 very, very critical to me that we get this worked out. 10 Mr. Houlihan has been working, trying to work with 11 Mr. Stokes to release some documents. It's not my fault that, 12 that they won't let some of the assets be released. I think they finally decided on one little piece of property they can release, 13 14 but they're still having a very difficult time. 15 I have confidence in those gentlemen as my attorneys. 16 mean, they are retained by me. They can't enter into this case 17 for whatever; I don't understand those reasons; and they are 18 working with me now, you know, and with Mr. Cummings as well; and 19 I'm sure he's a very fine lawyer; but I don't know him; and I'm, 20 frankly, I'm very, very anxious and scared of what will happen to 21 me if he's my sole representative in this case. I feel very uncomfortable. 22 23 THE COURT: Well, a defendant has basically three 24 options in court. He can always represent himself, the Sixth

Amendment gives you that right, as long as the Court is satisfied

25

1 | the defendant is mentally competent to make that decision.

2.0

The defendant can hire attorneys who enter their appearance, and as long as they're qualified to appear in court, that is, they comply with the local rules, and one of the local rules, as I'm sure you know, in this Court is there has to be a local counsel. I think the attorney from D.C. would have qualified as local counsel, as I would recall; in other words, he's admitted to the Eastern District; but in this case, that's up to the defendant.

If a defendant is unable to retain counsel, which for the record at this point is your situation because the attorneys who -- Mr. Houlihan and his cocounsel have not been prepared to enter an appearance in this case, then the third option is for the Court to appoint counsel, and I just want to make sure you understand that we appointed you a very experienced criminal defense attorney.

If you've done any homework into Mr. Cummings' background, this is not some inexperienced attorney who has not handled major, major, complex litigation. He may have a small law firm, but the Court has given him access to resources that a large firm would have as well.

A person who is accepting or who is given court-appointed counsel does not have the right to pick and choose who that counsel is going to be. I just want to make sure you understand the situation right now.

If the attorneys whom you wanted to retain are unable to come into this case and it's through no fault of the Court -- and as I understood the problem, most of the assets that could be used to pay for counsel are tied up in bankruptcy proceedings and some insurance policy. I remember at one of our earlier discussions, there was some insurance that your counsel had thought might help to pay their costs, is somehow still not worked out, but if you're unable to retain counsel, then your only options are to go with Mr. Cummings or to go pro se.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, not to be disrespectful, Your Honor, but I do have assets that could be used. The government has not, I don't feel, negotiated with them in good faith to release some things.

There is a \$5 million insurance policy that the bankruptcy court has been sitting on. Again, this isn't of my doing.

You know, I didn't ask for this, and certainly I need a better defense than, than a court-appointed attorney for myself. I don't feel I can get a fair trial, and I'm sorry, I don't mean to be disrespectful to you, but we have counsel that I have confidence in that are ready, willing, and able to come into the case if we can release some of my assets, but Mr. Stokes in his conversations with them is, is -- doesn't want to release anything that could make up the -- they have to make up the deductible. I need another \$500,000 to get to the deductible.

And there, as you know, are 19 million documents that the government has been working on. How many scores of people in the government are working on this? And I have a lawyer who doesn't know anything about the case, who I -- who he and I have very, very important differences in how the case should be done, and I have a very, very small amount of time.

There's no way we can even look at 1/100 of the documents that the government has provided, and all I'm asking is that we make a good faith effort to allow some of those assets to be -- I don't think it would -- I mean, it would just, it would just be fair to me to allow some of those assets to be released so that Mr. Houlihan and his other folks can come into the case and I can get the representation that I feel would be fair.

I mean, that's -- again, we're talking about, you know, the rest of my life.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but also at this point, I mean, there's no motion before the Court. That's really not the question I had for you. I just wanted to determine the nature of your relationship with Mr. Cummings, because you were not in court when I made the decision to appoint him.

At this point, assuming the worst possible scenario from your standpoint, and that is that your retained counsel do not ever enter an appearance in this case, are you prepared to go prose, or do you want Mr. Cummings to continue to represent you under the Criminal Justice Act?

Ι

THE DEFENDANT: I don't, I don't know, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, you need to give me an answer. 3 THE DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, I don't understand. don't know. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. At this point, I'm not finding there's an objection that's sufficient to change the nature of the 6 7 representation situation. There has to be an affirmative request to proceed pro se, and I'm not hearing that. 8 9 In any case, I do want it on the record, because I did check the box on the form that appointed -- that authorized funds 10 11 in this case, if funds are released to cover retained counsel and 12 they then come into the case, because the Criminal Justice Act is 13 a limited resource, I'm going to require that any funds that have 14 been expended by Mr. Cummings would have to be reimbursed to that 15 fund as well. 16 Now, if Mr. Cummings is retained to stay on in the case 17 in some capacity, we can adjust some of that perhaps, but the 18 point is I'm not going to allow a defendant to have a significant 19 portion of the defense paid for by the CJA and then have retained 20 counsel come in at the very, very end. 21 All right, at this point then, I'm leaving you in the 22 case, Mr. Cummings, and, Mr. Farkas, my recommendation to you is

to cooperate with the counsel whom you do have at this point.

It's never to a defendant's -- in a defendant's best interests to

23

24

25

not listen carefully.

```
1
              And as I said, if you check Martindale-Hubbell or you
 2
   have your counsel, Mr. Houlihan, check into Mr. Cummings'
 3
   background, I think they can assure you you've got an extremely
 4
   experienced attorney, and he has now got resources for paralegals
 5
   and others to assist in digesting this evidence.
              All right, that's all that we have on this case.
 6
                                                                 The
   next matter is set, I believe, for the 10th; is that correct?
7
                             That's correct, Your Honor.
 8
              MR. CUMMINGS:
 9
              THE COURT: And we'll hear the motion to transfer at
10
   that point.
11
              Was there anything further on this then?
12
              MR. CUMMINGS: No, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: On the discovery order then, I'm going to --
14
   at this point, I do think that since this is a white collar case,
15
    that more time -- and I congratulate the government on suggesting
    10 days, but I think that 20 business days would be more
16
17
    appropriate in terms of the time periods for those disclosures.
18
              MR. CUMMINGS:
                             Thank you.
19
              THE COURT: And so I will for the Giglio, Jencks, and
20
    the 404(b) change that in the order to 20 days. I'll probably
21
    just interlineate that, because the rest of the order looked to me
    to be just fine.
22
23
              All right, anything further on these two issues?
24
              MR. STOKES: No, not from the government, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Cummings?
```

```
21
 1
              MR. CUMMINGS: No, Your Honor, thank you.
 2
              THE COURT: All right, we'll call the next case.
                                                                  Thank
 3
   you.
 4
                              (Which were all the proceedings
 5
                               had at this time.)
 6
 7
                        CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER
 8
         I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the
 9
   record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```